Case 3:10-cv-03224-WHA Document 50 Filed 06/16/11 Page 1 of 5

1	Robert A. Mittelstaedt (#60359)	Andrew G. McBride (pro hac vice)				
2	Craig E. Stewart (#129530) JONES DAY	Joshua S. Turner (<i>pro hac vice</i>) WILEY REIN LLP				
3	555 California Street 26th Floor	1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006				
4	San Francisco, CA 94104	Telephone: (202)719-7000				
5	Telephone: (415) 626-3939 Fax: (415) 875-5700	Fax: (202) 719-7049 amcbride@wileyrein.com				
6	ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com					
7	Jane F. Thorpe (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Scott A. Elder (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	Seamus C. Duffy (pro hac vice) Susan M. Roach (pro hac vice)				
8	ALSTON & BIRD LLP	DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP				
9	1201 West Peachtree St., NW Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3424	One Logan Square, Suite 2000 Philadelphia, PA 19103-6996				
10	Telephone: (404) 881-7592 Fax: (404) 253-8875	Telephone: (215) 988-2700 Fax: (215) 988-2757 seamus.duffy@dbr.com				
11	jane.thorpe@alston.com					
12	Terrence J. Dee (<i>pro hac vice</i>) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP					
13	300 North LaSalle					
14	Chicago, IL 60654 Telephone: (312) 862-2099					
15	Fax: (312) 862-2200					
16	tdee@kirkland.com					
17	Attorneys for Plaintiff CTIA – The Wireless Association®					
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
20	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
21	CTIA - THE WIRELESS ASSOCIATION®,	Case No. 3:10-cv-03224 WHA				
22	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING FURTHER STAY AND BRIEFING				
23	V.					
24	THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA,	AND DIVIDING				
25	Defendant.					
26						
27						
28						

RECITALS

- 1. The Parties have worked in good faith to develop expedited case management schedules for the adjudication of this case.
- 2. To that end, the Court adopted on December 21, 2010, a stipulated schedule that established timelines for expert disclosures, depositions, and briefing. Doc. 25. In December and January, the parties expended significant resources conducting expert discovery. CTIA's expert reports were produced and the City deposed those experts.
- 3. In late January, the City informed CTIA that it intended to make substantive revisions to the disclosures required by the Ordinance and the accompanying Regulations that could impact the issues presented in this litigation. The City further advised CTIA that it believed these revisions would be in place by no later than March 15, although neither the timing of the revisions nor the exact nature of the revisions were certain. Given these potential changes, the parties determined that it would not serve the interests of the parties or the Court to proceed with the briefing schedule then in place.
- 4. On February 3, 2011, the parties informed the Court of these developments and (1) stipulated that the then-existing briefing schedule be vacated and (2) agreed to a temporary stay of enforcement of the Ordinance and the accompanying Regulations until June 15, 2011. The Court approved the parties' stipulation and agreement on February 3. Doc. 44. That stipulation provided that the parties would discuss in good faith any need to further delay enforcement should the revised requirements become effective after March 15, 2011. *Id*.
- 5. Thereafter, on April 26, 2011, the City notified CTIA by letter that the City was extending the stay of enforcement of the Ordinance and Regulations until further notice. The City stated that the stay was intended to allow the Board of Supervisors to consider potential changes to the Ordinance, and to afford the retailers an opportunity to adjust to any new requirements before having to comply.
- 6. On May 17, 2011, a proposed amended Ordinance was introduced before the Board of Supervisors and referred to the Board's Public Safety Committee. The proposed amendment would modify the Ordinance's disclosure requirements, direct the Department of the

Environment to adopt new implementing regulations, and require that retailers comply within 15 days of adoption with certain of the regulations and within 30 days for the remaining regulations.

- Given the stay of enforcement and the proposed amendment, the parties agree that motion practice in this case should be deferred pending enactment of any amendments and/or adoption of the new regulations. The parties will meet and confer regarding a briefing schedule to be proposed to the Court once any amendments are enacted or new regulations adopted.
- Because of the shortness of the compliance deadlines in the proposed amended Ordinance, CTIA anticipates that it may request preliminary injunctive relief from the Court should the deadlines be enacted as proposed.
- The parties propose that the case management conference currently set for June 16, 2011 be continued to a date convenient to the Court, at which time the parties can inform the Court of the City's progress toward enacting any amendments or new regulations. Given the anticipated timetable for the City's consideration of the proposed amendments, the parties respectfully suggest September or October of this year as an appropriate time for such a

STIPULATION

The parties accordingly stipulate as follows:

- The City agrees and stipulates to extend the stay of any enforcement of the existing Ordinance and Regulations until further notice.
- Motion practice in this case shall be deferred pending enactment of amendments to the Ordinance and/or adoption of new implementing regulations.
- The parties will meet and confer regarding a briefing schedule to be proposed to the Court once amendments are enacted or new regulations adopted.
- The case management conference currently scheduled for June 16, 2011 be continued to October 6, 2011, at 11:00 AM.

26

27

28

	Case 3:10-cv-03224-WHA	Document 50	Filed 06/16/11	Page 4 of 5
1	Dated: June 7, 2011		JONES DAY	
2			By: /s/ Craig E. S	tewart
3			Craig E. Stew	
4			Attorneys for	
5			CTIA – The V	Vireless Association®
6	Dated: June 7, 2011			RERA, State Bar #139669
7			City Attorney WAYNE SNODO	GRASS, State Bar #148137
8				RIA, State Bar #208557
9			City Hall, Room 2	234
			#1 Dr. Carlton B. San Francisco Ca	Goodlett Place alifornia 94102-4682
10			Telephone: (41	15) 554-4674
11			Facsimile: (41 E-Mail: vir	15) 554-4699 nce.chhabria@sfgov.org
12				
13			By: Vince Chhabr	ia
14				
15			Attorneys for Def The City And Cou	endant unty Of San Francisco,
16			California	,
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
18	Dated: June 16, 2011.		TES DISTRIC	
19	Dated. valie 10, 2011.		ATES DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	
20		SYIL SYIL	LIAM, W. ALSUP	
21		NONI NONI	GRANTED	CTFUDGE
22		[5]	m A	<u>~</u>
23		\z\ (Judge William Al	sup
24		18		
25			PNDISTRICT	
26	SFI-699619v1		DISTRICT	Or
27				
28				Stip. Re Stay and Briefing

Case 3:10-cv-03224-WHA Document 50 Filed 06/16/11 Page 5 of 5 JONES DAY 1 Dated: June 7, 2011 2 By: /s/ Craig E. Stewart Craig E. Stewart 3 4 Attorneys for Plaintiff CTIA – The Wireless Association® 5 DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 Dated: June 7, 2011 6 City Attorney WAYNE SNODGRASS, State Bar #148137 7 VINCE CHHABRIA, State Bar #208557 8 Deputy City Attorneys City Hall, Room 234 9 #1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, California 94102-4682 10 Telephone: (415) 554-4674 (415) 554-4699 Facsimile: 11 vince.chhabria@sfgov.org E-Mail: 12 By: 13 Vince Chhabria 14 Attorneys for Defendant 15 The City And County Of San Francisco, California 16 IT IS SO ORDERED. 17 18 Dated: 19 WILLIAM H. ALSUP 20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 21 22 23 24 25 SFI-699619v1 26

Stip. Re Stay and Briefing No. C 10-03224 WHA

27

28